

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,523	08/01/2003	Stewart Hicks	HICK'S SAUSAGE	4356
7590 03/29/2006			EXAMINER	
DAVID G. HENRY			CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
900 Washington Avenue, 7th Floor P.O. Box 1470		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Waco, TX 77603-1470			1761	-

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/632,523	HICKS, STEWART			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Arthur L. Corbin	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
<u></u>	,				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
		Evaminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ile			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	nont Application (F10-102)			

Application/Control Number: 10/632,523

Art Unit: 1761

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 4 are misdescriptive in reciting the superfluous language ",but ...to," (lines 2-3) since "comprising" and "including" make the ingredients open-ended. Cancellation of this language is required.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss et al (cols. 2, 3 and 5) in view of Poarch (col. 3, line 10) or Bolin et al (col. 4, lines 3-40). Weiss et al discloses making a sausage product including mixing encapsulated citric acid with ground meat and spices to form a sausage blend which is then stuffed into a casing, tied and incubated. It would have been obvious to include vinegar in the sausage blend of Weiss et al to aid in reducing pH and coagulating protein since it is well known to add vinegar to a sausage blend for such a purpose, as evidenced by either secondary reference. Further, it would have been obvious to extrude the sausage blend into the casing in Weiss et al, link a plurality of encased sausages and then place in a smokehouse since such a sausage making procedure is old, as

Application/Control Number: 10/632,523

Art Unit: 1761

evidenced by Bolin et al. The use of powdered vinegar as opposed to liquid vinegar does not provide any unexpected results and is not deemed to be critical.

Page 3

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Holdren et al shows making sausage products including encapsulated citric acid.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Arthur L Corbin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

3-24,06